

Sept. 8, 2014 No. 2014-25 ISSN 1093-2240 Available online at mackinac.org/v2014-25

What Proposal 1 Means for Michigan Going Forward

By James M. Hohman

Proposal 1 was a rare bird of policy. Beyond the strange requirement for a public vote, its uniqueness stemmed from what it was — a strategic reduction in an economically inefficient tax without raising taxes elsewhere. Other initiatives to reduce personal property taxes outside of Michigan have been plagued with favoritism problems. Michigan residents can expect a slight improvement in the business climate and an improving economy from certifying this reform.

In addition to paying taxes on the value of their land and buildings, Michigan taxes businesses based on the value of their equipment. Because this is a tax on the things the employers purchase and employees use, it has direct discouragement of investing in a state.

Due to its economic effects, states have targeted these personal property taxes for elimination. Seven states eliminated them and another four substantially reduced these taxes, according to the Tax Foundation. And among our neighbors, only Indiana levies personal property taxes. Even then, the Hoosier State is looking at ways to reduce this tax.

Efforts to eliminate these taxes often settle around replacing them with other taxes. Illinois eliminated its personal property tax but replaced it with the highest corporate income tax rate among in the nation. Ohio replaced its personal property tax with an arguably more job-killing gross receipts tax.

Michigan took a different approach. Proposal 1 did not eliminate the tax, but it is providing some broadly applied exemptions to it. First, it has already implemented exemptions for businesses owning less than \$80,000 in equipment in each taxing jurisdiction. This small parcel exemption eliminates will encourage business formation.

Michigan is also exempting manufacturing equipment from these taxes. Businesses will no longer pay personal property taxes on new equipment they purchase and the state will be phasing in relief for existing equipment. There is a small replacement assessment on manufacturing equipment but this tax will be levied at lower rates than the current tax. By lowering the tax burden on these job creators, this will encourage more industrial expansion in the state.

These exemptions, when phased in, will lower taxes by roughly \$500 million.

Aug. 5 means businesses will

Summary

face a lower tax burden while local governments and school districts will not see a reduction in revenues. The move was accomplished without raising taxes elsewhere, something Michigan's Great Lakes neighbors have been unable to accomplish.

The passage of Proposal 1 on

Main text word count: 626



Proposal 1 of 2014: Summary and Assessment By James Harman

Executive Summa

The lapproximation that investigg and where if the special interruption of the energy methods wavegations for carried hadronics that a new versative descent to the protond operating the a block and attractions the protond operating two block and attractions the energy of the special descent of the second second the lapproximation of the special descent of the two block HALLOW second approach to the block desc the lapproximation of properior that the lapproximation to the block of the special descent second the special descent of the special descent second encounters animation are attracted by the special descent encounters and the special descent and the special descent encounters and the special descent and the special descent encounters and the special descent and the special descent encounters and the special descent and the special descent encounters are attracted as a special descent and the special descent and and the special descence.

The package of bills that social because even the P Proposal 1 is approved methodox a mechanism for orienteeing local generations for the first overall has been down over social social for the overall

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

For one of the executions thereford been, except the small parent competent. The stars antennon the tosum 51275 collines, restrict prior accord on the tothe high-turne participation of the transformer to the the transfor

bile carealy operred in the biologue togramme. From obtains which possible and the biologue with resolutioning present property, and possible a sufficient associated baseling for local generations.

The Aug. 2 pressure contrast interests performed to highlight chargest to obtain some of AvA(1)get. The permutal property mass info effects for a trend with obser nones. The proposed electroners can a pressured property for and bottomess, and plane form on the resolutioning companies. It stars represents the transmission for and pressures represents the stars for interests of pressures represents and the stars and the pressures represents and the stars and the pressures represents and the stars and the presents of preparets taken.

Matthian's present property hears are only public features and partners based on the value of they repiption. This is a remove supports for start and must have a fixed partners that failing practices

You can read more about Proposal 1 at Mackinac.org/archives/2014/s2014-03.pdf.



Tax reductions often contain carve-outs for some businesses and increased burdens for others. Michigan's older business tax was riddled with selecting winners and losers in the tax code. And while commercial enterprises and utility properties are not sharing in the benefits of Proposal 1, the new exemptions are broad-based improvements in the state's rules for taxing businesses.

Normally, lowering these taxes would reduce local government revenues, and local governments have opposed personal property tax reform in the past. But the state will be essentially earmarking a portion of its own taxes in order to reimburse municipalities and school districts. This is one of the big reasons why there was no organized opposition to the proposal and very likely improved its chances of passing.

It will also mean that the state budget will receive less money over time. But the state expects to have more money over time as well. As part of its 2011 tax reforms, it eliminated a number of selective business tax credit programs. These programs created refundable tax credits for business expansion. Because these credits are only awarded after the companies make their investments, they push the costs if the credit to the future. When these companies receive their last credits, the state will have \$500 million more in revenue that is being used to "pay for" Proposal 1.

Instead of selecting alternative taxes and shifting around business tax burdens, Michigan is lowering the burden. It is reimbursing local governments for lost revenue and will be paying for it from an apparent increase in state revenues. This remarkably clean proposal improved the state business climate and the state economy will reap the rewards.

#####

James Hohman is assistant director of fiscal policy at the Mackinac Center for Public Policy, a research and educational institute headquartered in Midland, Mich. Permission to reprint in whole or in part is hereby granted, provided that the author and the Center are properly cited.

Instead of selecting alternative taxes and shifting around business tax burdens, Michigan is lowering the burden.

Attention Editors and Producers

Viewpoint commentaries are provided for reprint in newspapers and other publications. Authors are available for print or broadcast interviews. Electronic text is available for this Viewpoint at mackinac.org/pubs/viewpoints.

Please contact: **TED O'NEIL** Media Relations Manager 140 West Main Street P.O. Box 568 Midland, Mich. 48640

Phone: 989-631-0900 Fax: 989-631-0964 Oneil@mackinac.org

www.mackinac.org

facebook.com/MackinacCenter

twitter.com/MackinacCenter





Michiganvotes.org



MACKINAC CENTER



VIEWPOINT

